

DIEBY NECK QUARRY

MARCH 31-03.

Charlotte Myer. Nav. Water.

John James, I.C.

Yannick Hatteau

Phil Zamora, DFO Habitat.

Steve Zwicker
Steve Chapman.

Bob Petrie

Derek McDonald.

Chris Daly

Mark McLean

- Phil Zamora → mailed FCR → April 10th deadline for response.
- HADD decision should come this wk.
- Habitat - meeting with Kaiser → adaptive mgmt. can deal with issues
↳ comp. study track not Panel.

Proc.

- Class I process, want to harmonize with federal → need to discuss process
↳ we ^{recommend} need an Hou unless federal going to use prov. process
* does Act say anything about when do deviate from class 1 or 2? * - do we need Hou?
- S.58 of CEAA any agreement Minister has to sign needs public comment.

Scope

- Phil → draft scope after HADD decision.

ACTION*

↳ Mark suggests no ~~list~~ providing list to DFO for scoping document.

ACTION*

circulate pit & quarry sector guide.

CONCERN ON KEEPING SCOPE GENERAL.

- keep in mind the Proponent has already gone ahead & completed a lot of study work → they doing component approach → not a consultant?
don't want to miss anything that we want done: should be specific in Hou.

- Steve → are there concerns about comp. study track → would rather send to Panel if going to go.
^{early}

Habitat's decision on comp. study → related to science uncertainty
* but what about going to Panel on public concern "warrant"
↳ refer it ^{to Panel} some sort of analysis on public concern needed. + concern related to env. effects.
↳ ~~Chris~~ ^{Chris} we can't bury our heads on this - need to make thoughtful decision that are comfortable

new act → comments on scope → use these comments to decide track & stay on that track.

ACTION → MOU.*

* an analysis doc. (fed) has been done 2x in past to support decision on comp. study track.

↳ Steve - premature to use this tool → use scoping doc. + its review before decide on need for analysis doc.

→ STEVE unusual for draft CSR to be sent for public comment
↳ more common targeted public consultation with key interest groups.

→ but advantage to sending for draft review. → get public feel.

STEVE ZWICKER

• any work on 1st NATIONS work → discussion around work Michael Cox is doing for Bear River

discussion on whether or not to delegate CSR prep to Proponent.
↳ there is to have Prop. do it → but if don't have confidence in people preparing document (e.g. Deep Panuke had Jacques preparing). + still had problems.

CHRIS → how coordinate communications to public → with Deep Panuke had communication officers with CNEOPB.

ACTION*
↳ all parties potentially affected to be notified before press releases.
↳ communications officers → exchange info.